

1

2

3

4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

6

7 FRANCISCA MORALEZ,  
8 Plaintiff,  
9 v.  
10 CIRCLE A FOODMART AND GAS, et al.,  
11 Defendants.

Case No. [4:15-cv-02759-KAW](#)

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO  
WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL**

Re: Dkt. No. 54

12 Presently, Defendants Circle A Foodmart and Gas and Gurnath Singh are represented by  
13 attorney Michael D. Welch. On July 31, 2017, counsel moved to withdraw. (Mot., Dkt. No. 54.)  
14 Counsel states that, for the past year, Defendants have failed to communicate with counsel despite  
15 numerous attempts to contact them via telephone, email, and visits. (Decl. of Michael D. Welch,  
16 “Welch Decl.,” Dkt. No. 54-1 at 5 ¶ 3.) Counsel served copies of the motion on Defendants via  
17 U.S. Mail. (Certificate of Service, Dkt. No. 61.) Defendants did not file an opposition to the  
18 motion to withdraw.

19 The Court held a hearing on the motion November 16, 2017. Attorney Michael D. Welch  
20 appeared at the hearing. Defendants did not appear.

21 Under Civil Local Rule 11-5(a), “[c]ounsel may not withdraw from an action until relieved  
22 by order of Court after written notice has been given reasonably in advance to the client and to all  
23 other parties who have appeared in the case.” The local rules further provide that if the client does  
24 not consent to the withdrawal and no substitution of counsel is filed, the motion to withdraw shall  
25 be granted on the condition that all papers from the court and from the opposing party shall  
26 continue to be served on that party’s current counsel for forwarding purposes until the client  
27 appears by other counsel or pro se if the client is not a corporate entity. Civil L.R. 11-5(b).

28 Withdrawal is governed by the California Rules of Professional Conduct. *See Nehad v.*

1        *Mukasey*, 535 F.3d 962, 970 (9th Cir. 2008) (applying California Rules of Professional Conduct to  
2 attorney withdrawal). Under California Rule of Professional Conduct 3-700(C), an attorney may  
3 request permission to withdraw if the client breaches an agreement or obligation to its counsel as  
4 to expenses or fees, or if the client engages in “other conduct [that] renders it unreasonably  
5 difficult for the member to carry out the employment effectively,” such as a client’s failure to  
6 communicate with his attorney. Cal. Rules of Prof'l Conduct R. 3-700(C)(1)(d).

7              The Court has discretion regarding whether to grant a motion to withdraw, and an  
8 attorney’s request to withdraw should be denied “where such withdrawal would work an injustice  
9 or cause undue delay in the proceeding.” *Gong v. City of Alameda*, No. C 03-05495 TEH, 2008  
10 WL 160964, at \*1 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 8, 2008) (no prejudice or undue delay to client where counsel  
11 provided sufficient notice of its intent to withdraw and where no trial date had yet been set in the  
12 case). Here, the Court finds that good cause exists to grant the motion to withdraw. Counsel has  
13 attested that Defendants have failed to communicate with him despite counsel’s efforts to reach  
14 them using various forms of communication, and despite having reached a tentative settlement  
15 over one year ago. (Welch Decl. ¶¶ 3-4.) This is a valid ground for withdrawal. Furthermore,  
16 although Defendants have been served with counsel’s motion to withdraw, they did not object to  
17 the motion nor did they appear at the hearing to contest the motion to withdrawal. Lastly, there is  
18 no showing that withdrawal would work an injustice or cause undue delay, as Defendants’ actions  
19 are the reason why the case has not been resolved.

20              Accordingly, counsel’s motion to withdraw is granted, and attorney Michael D. Welch is  
21 relieved as counsel of record. Since Defendants have not consented to the withdrawal and no  
22 substitution of counsel has been filed, all papers from the court and from other parties shall  
23 continue to be served on defense counsel for forwarding purposes until a substitution of counsel is  
24 filed. *See Civil L.R. 11-5(b)*.

25              IT IS SO ORDERED.

26              Dated: November 16, 2017

27                
KANDIS A. WESTMORE  
28              United States Magistrate Judge